

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/623,569	07/22/2003	Akiko Miyano	Q76408	6558
23373 7	590 10/18/2006		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			DESAI, ANISH P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/623,569	MIYANO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anish Desai	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	8 September 2006.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 9-16 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 9-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		- hu the Eversiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the		en received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	lo(s)/Mail Date. <u>100606</u> of Informal Patent Application					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1771

The applicant's arguments in response to the Advisory Action dated 08/24/06 have been fully considered and they are found persuasive.

- 1. Art rejections of Ho et al. (US 5,468,532) and Mueller et al. (US 6,180,228B1) are withdrawn in view of the present amendment and response (see page 2 of 09/08/06 amendment). Ho and Muller do not teach a pressure-sensitive adhesive layer as an outermost layer as claimed in claim 1. However, upon further consideration a new ground of rejection is made in view of Vesley et al. (US 4,950,537).
- 2. The obviousness-type double patenting rejections are withdrawn because the terminal disclaimer filed on 08/10/06 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Application No. 11/041,394 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 9-13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vesley et al. (US 4,950,537).

Vesley teaches a foam like pressure-sensitive adhesive tape comprising a flexible carrier supporting one or more pressure-sensitive adhesive layers, at least one

Art Unit: 1771

layer of the pressure-sensitive adhesive having a pigment-coated microbubles dispersed therein (abstract). Further, Vesley teaches that the adhesive tape of the invention can have any desired color (column 2, lines 53-54). Moreover, Vesley discloses a double-coated (double-sided) adhesive tape at column 8, lines 39-43). The examiner is equating more than one layer of pressure-sensitive adhesive as a substrate as claimed in claim 1 and a supporting substrate as claimed in claims 15 and 16.

Vesley is silent as to teaching of substrate comprising colored layers comprising a white layer, a layer of color other than white and black which is a silver layer, and a black layer which are superposed in this order, supporting substrate is a transparent substrate film, supporting substrate is a white substrate film. However it is the examiner's position that choosing a desired color is well known in the art, because Vesley teaches that the adhesive tape of the invention can have any desired color (column 2, lines 53-54). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the tape substrate comprising colored layers comprising a white layer, a layer of color other than white and black which is a silver layer, and a black layer which are superposed in this order, supporting substrate is a transparent substrate film, and supporting substrate is a white substrate film, motivated by the desire to provide aesthetically pleasing pressure-sensitive adhesive tape. Further with respect to claim 13, the recitation "for use in fixing a liquidcrystal display module unit to a backlight unit" is considered as a mere intended use of the double-sided pressure sensitive adhesive tape. Although, Vesley does not explicitly teach said intended use of the double-sided adhesive tape, it is the examiner's position

Application/Control Number: 10/623,569 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

that in absence of any unexpected results, the double-sided pressure-sensitive adhesive tape of Vesley is capable of being used for such intended use.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vesley et al. (US 4,950,537) in view of Kameyama et al. (US 6,166,799).

The invention of Vesley is previously disclosed. Vesley is silent as to teaching of a liquid crystal display comprising a liquid-crystal display module unit and a backlight unit, wherein the display module unit and the backlight unit being fixed to each other with the double-sided pressure-sensitive adhesive tape of claim 1. However, Kameyama teaches a liquid crystal element. Further Kameyama teaches that it is preferred that the components have been bonded and united with each other through a pressure-sensitive adhesive (column 14, lines 8-10). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the liquid crystal element as disclosed by Kameyama and bonded the components with the double-sided adhesive tape of Vesley, motivated by the desire to bond the components of liquid crystal element.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,569

Art Unit: 1771

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anish Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-6467. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

APD

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700